

CIPAC MT STATUS REPORT

04/08/2019

MT 184 Suspensibility of formulations forming suspensions on dilution in water

Allocated to DAPF

CIPAC methods published in:

CIPACK, p. 142

CIPAC 42nd meeting, July 1998 in York

Proposals for revised and comprehensive methods will probably be presented by DAPF at the CIPAC meeting in 1999.

CIPAC 43rd meeting, June 1999 in Budapest

Mr Menschel proposed the harmonisation of the existing methods for the determination of the suspensibility of WP's, WG's and SC's. The gravimetric determination should be acceptable down to concentrations of 0.2%, modification of test concentration, test temperature and Standard Water should be possible. A discussion arose on the availability of the test cylinders prescribed in the existing method. An enquiry sent to the CIPAC members shall clarify if. The proposed amendment of the title and the revision of the method were accepted pending the outcome of the enquiry.

CIPAC 44th meeting, June 2000 in Granada

Mr Menschel reported additional results, CIPAC/4199, with the revised method already presented at the meeting in Budapest. The main issue had been the size of the measuring cylinders to be used. An enquiry had shown that the height of the cylinders were in the range of 19.5 to 26 cm.

Decision The method for the determination of the suspensibility of formulations forming suspensions on dilution in water, CIPAC/4199, which is a harmonisation of methods MT 15, MT 161 and MT 168, has been adopted as full CIPAC method.

CIPAC 62nd meeting, June 2018 in Panama City

Revision of MT 184.1 Suspensibility (5156)

The presentation was done during the CIPAC symposium. Mr Kundel could not be present at the meeting. Any comments should be forwarded to Mr Hänel.

Closed Meeting:

Mr Hänel remarked he would try to get additional clarification from Mr Kundel considering the changes made to the method. The method was accepted as **provisional** CIPAC MT method MT 184.1.

CIPAC 63rd meeting, June 2019 in Braunschweig

Closed Meeting:

At the previous meeting, the method was accepted as provisional.

Mrs Nováková asked to change the volume settings to 25 ml ± 1 ml as no glassware could be found which was graduated at 25 ml (only graduation at 24 and 26 ml exist). Advice from the meeting was offered how to deal with this problem. Mr Dubois expressed his disagreement as a change of 2 ml is more or less 10% of the remaining volume and there would be a large difference in the a.s. content.

The method can be promoted to a **full CIPAC method** without the volume settings change.